

European Court of Justice rules the Spanish Financial Goodwill amortization regime constitutes an aid scheme

On 6 October 2021, the European Court of Justice (“ECJ”), sitting as the Grand Chamber, delivered its judgments on several appeals (C-50 to C-53/19P, C-64/19P and C-65/19P) lodged by different beneficiaries of the regime and the Kingdom of Spain against the decisions of the General Court of the European Union (“General Court”) of 15 November 2018 in which the General Court, adjudicating on a previous referral back from the ECJ, dismissed the appeals against the final State aid decision of the European Commission of [28 October 2009](#) and [12 January 2011](#) (“contested decisions”) (click [here](#) to have access to our previous newsalert).

The dispute between the Spanish Government, the impacted companies and the European Commission has been ongoing for more than fifteen years. The disputed tax measure was introduced in 2001 into the Spanish Corporate Tax Law and allowed the deduction of the financial goodwill resulting from the acquisition by a resident undertaking of a shareholding of at least 5% in a foreign company, in the form of an amortisation, from the basis of assessment for the corporate tax for which the resident undertaking was liable, provided that relevant shareholding was held without interruption for at least one year. By the contested decisions, the European Commission declared that such tax measure constituted an aid scheme incompatible with the internal market and ordered its recovery, except in the case of beneficiaries protected by legitimate expectations (i.e. acquisitions conducted prior to 21 December 2007). The General Court annulled both decisions by judgments of [7 November 2014](#), taking the view that the European Commission had not established that the measure was selective since, being a measure of general application, the European Commission failed to identify a category of undertakings which are exclusively favoured by the measure at issue. In the appeal brought by the European Commission against those judgments, the ECJ set them aside and referred the case back to the General Court in its judgment of [21 December 2016](#). In the judgments delivered in the referral back, the General Court found that, considering the findings of the ECJ judgments, the measure was selective and dismissed the actions for annulment brought against the contested decisions.

The ECJ dismissed all the appeals brought against the judgments of the General Court clarifying to some extent its complex case-law on the selectivity of tax measures, particularly in the case of measures of general application that constitute an aid scheme. In particular the ECJ confirmed that a measure can still be selective even if the transaction benefitting from the measure is open to all undertakings.

Takeaway

Even if the decision represents the end of the debate on the selectivity of the amortisation of financial goodwill, it does not put an end to the legal battle that surrounds this measure, since there are still pending appeals with the General Court against the [third decision](#) issued by the European Commission in relation to the measure (i.e. application of the amortisation in the case of goodwill resulting from the acquisition of holding companies) and an important amount of recovery procedures at different national administrative or judicial stages that raise questions on the application of the legitimate expectations recognized in the contested decisions.

Let's talk

For a deeper discussion, please contact:

Miguel Muñoz Pérez
PwC Spain
+34 915 684 400
miguel.munoz.perez@pwc.com

Roberta Poza Cid
PwC Spain
+34 669 419 220
roberta.poza.cid@pwc.com

Claudio Valz
PwC Italy
+39 347 062 2319
claudio.valz@pwc.com

Jonathan Hare
PwC United Kingdom
++44 20 7804 6772
jonathan.hare@pwc.com

Emmanuel Raingeard
PwC France
+33 155 574 014
emmanuel.raingeard@pwcavocats.com

Or contact any other member of PwC's [State Aid Working Group](#)

EU DIRECT TAX GROUP

The EU Direct Tax Group (EUDTG) is PwC's pan-European network of EU law experts. We specialise in all areas of direct tax, including the fundamental freedoms, EU directives and State aid rules. You will be only too well aware that EU direct tax law is moving quickly, and it's difficult to keep up. But it is crucial that taxpayers with an EU or EEA presence understand the impact as they explore their activities, opportunities and investment decisions. Find out more on: www.pwc.com/eudtg

Interested in receiving our free EU tax news? Send an e-mail to eudtg@nl.pwc.com with "subscription EU Tax News".