

## **EU General Court Considers the Belgian Excess Profits Ruling to Be Unlawful State Aid**

On 20 September 2023, the General Court of the European Union (GCEU) ruled for the second time in the case of the Belgian Excess Profits Ruling (Belgian EPR) (Judgment of the General Court, 20 September 2023, in case [T-131/16](#)). Contrary to its first judgment in 2019 on EPR, it now confirmed the decision of the European Commission (EC) of 11 January 2016 that the EPR constituted an unlawful tax scheme and infringed the EU State aid rules.

### **Facts**

By an advance ruling, Belgian entities part of a multinational group were able to reduce their tax base in Belgium to reflect the belief that part of the residual profit generated through synergies, economies of scale and similar benefits of being a vertically integrated multinational group should not be attributable to the Belgian entities.

On 11 January 2016, the EC concluded that the Belgian EPR constituted unlawful State aid, giving its beneficiaries a selective advantage, for the purposes of Article 107(1) TFEU, that was incompatible with the internal market. The decision obliged the Belgian government to recover the alleged unlawful aid provided to several economic operators.

On 14 February 2019, the GCEU annulled the decision of the EC, finding that the latter had erred in qualifying the measure as an “aid scheme”.

On 16 September 2021, the European Court of Justice (ECJ) annulled the GCEU judgment and, by doing so, upheld the EC decision regarding the qualification of the Belgian EPR as an aid scheme. The ECJ then referred the case back to the GCEU.

### **GCEU judgment**

The GCEU now rules that the EC was correct in its decision that the Belgian EPR infringes EU State aid rules. The GCEU rejects the arguments that Belgium has put forward, including the failure of the EC to take into account the tax rules applicable in Belgium. More specifically, the GCEU concluded that the EC:

- demonstrated that the EPR granted tax advantages to its beneficiaries;
- correctly concluded on the selectivity of the EPR as the members of a multinational group benefitting from it were treated differently from entities subject to the standard Belgian Corporate Income Tax regime;
- was right in finding that the EPR was not open to companies that did not make investments, centralize activities or create employment in Belgium, or were not available to members of a small group.

### **Takeaway**

The GCEU judgment is open for appeal before the ECJ. It should however be limited to points of law. It is too early to comment on the contemplated course of action by the Belgian Government or the concerned multinational groups. Whether they will appeal the judgment on points of law is not yet known at this point in time. An appeal can be lodged within two months. In absence of any appeal, this judgment becomes the final step in the proceedings and the tax due by each impacted operator should be recalculated as if the State aid has not been granted.

This judgment illustrates the complexity of State aid rules in transfer pricing matters, particularly when assessing domestic fiscal policy incentives stemming from an era way before the OECD/G20 project on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting. The launch of the proposal for the [Transfer Pricing Directive](#) on 12 September 2023 regarding the codification of the arm's length principle in the EU demonstrates the need for clarity. Particularly relevant is the contemplated introduction of mandatory corresponding adjustments in the case of primary downward adjustments in one country. It seems to be largely inspired by the EPR case at hand.

Finally, this judgment comes at a time when the EC is actively seeking “matching” responses to fiscal incentives granted outside the EU economic bloc. These incentives aim to accelerate investment in areas such as the green agenda, digitalization, and reliable supply chains, with a focus on energy and critical raw materials. This aligns with the priorities

outlined in Executive Vice-President Dombrovskis' press release on 16 September 2023 which stresses the following priorities:

- maintain the EU's traditional openness, while shoring up our economic resilience;
- seek to maintain the EU's standing in the world marketplace and stay competitive.

## Let's talk

For a deeper discussion, please contact:

Pieter Deré  
PwC Belgium  
+32 498 48 95 11  
[pieter.dere@pwc.com](mailto:pieter.dere@pwc.com)

Or contact any other member of PwC's [EU Direct Tax Group](#)

## EU DIRECT TAX GROUP

The EU Direct Tax Group (EUDTG) is PwC's pan-European network of EU law experts. We specialise in all areas of direct tax, including the fundamental freedoms, EU directives and State aid rules. You will be only too well aware that EU direct tax law is moving quickly, and it's difficult to keep up. But it is crucial that taxpayers with an EU or EEA presence understand the impact as they explore their activities, opportunities and investment decisions. Find out more on: [www.pwc.com/eudtg](http://www.pwc.com/eudtg)

Interested in receiving our free EU tax news? Send an e-mail to [eudtg@nl.pwc.com](mailto:eudtg@nl.pwc.com) with "subscription EU Tax News".

© 2023 PwC. All rights reserved. PwC refers to the PwC network and/or one or more of its member firms, each of which is a separate legal entity. Please see [www.pwc.com/structure](http://www.pwc.com/structure) for further details. This content is for general information purposes only and should not be used as a substitute for consultation with professional advisors. PwC helps organisations and individuals create the value they're looking for. We're a network of firms in 157 countries with more than 195,000 people who are committed to delivering quality in assurance, tax and advisory services. Find out more and tell us what matters to you by visiting us at [www.pwc.com](http://www.pwc.com)